A SMALL WORLD: UNCOVERING HIDDEN DIVERSITY IN *FRULLANIA* – A NEW SPECIES FROM AOTEAROA-NEW ZEALAND
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Abstract. *Frullania* is a large and taxonomically complex genus. Here a new *Frullania, F. toropuku* von Konrat, de Lange & Larraín, sp. nov. is described from New Zealand. *Frullania toropuku* is placed in *F.* subg. *Microfrullania*. The new species is readily recognised by a combination of morphological characters associated with branching, the perianth, sexuality, and sporophyte, which distinguish it from all other New Zealand and regional species of *Frullania*. However, morphologically *F. toropuku* most closely resembles the widespread *F. rostrata*, which might well be regarded as a Southern Hemisphere equivalent of the Holarctic *F. tamarisci* species-complex in terms of its cryptic diversity. A combination of morphological characters associated with branching, the perianth, sexuality, and sporophyte distinguish *F. toropuku* from all other New Zealand and regional species of *Frullania*. A comparison is made between *F. toropuku* and morphologically allied species of botanical regions outside the New Zealand region and an artificial key is provided. In a prior investigation, maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood analyses of nuclear ribosomal ITS2 and plastidic *trnL-trnF* sequences from purported related species confirms its independent taxonomic status and corroborates its placement within *F.* subg. *Microfrullania*. The ongoing studies of *Frullania* species-complexes reveal the urgent need for more species-level phylogenies with extensive population sampling to approximate the actual diversity of *Frullania*, and to elucidate speciation processes and distribution range formation.
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INTRODUCTION

*Frullania* Raddi (Frullaniaceae) is a large, cosmopolitan, complex liverwort genus with over 2000 validly published binomials (Yuzawa 1991; von Konrat *et al.* 2010). Current estimates of the accepted number of species for the genus suggest there are between 300–375 accepted species (e.g., Schuster 1992; Gradstein *et al.* 2001). However, growing data and evidence suggests that this estimate is overly conservative (von Konrat *et al.* 2010), and that the full extent of species diversity in the genus is possibly much greater (see comments in Heinrichs *et al.* 2010).

The number of accepted species, including the new species described here, for the New Zealand Botanical Region as defined by Allan (1961), is now 33 (Glenny *et al.* 2011; von Konrat *et al.* 2012). Here we present a study of a newly discovered species that is morphologically close to *F. rostrata* (Hook. f. & Taylor) Hook. f. & Taylor. *Frullania rostrata* was described almost 170 years ago (Hooker 1845). Understandably it was broadly circumscribed, and as such it has usually been
interpreted as a widespread, polymorphic and common Australasian species (Hattori 1979; von Konrat et al. 2006b). However, recent taxonomic investigations using cryptic morphological characters in conjunction with DNA sequence data has revealed that *F. rostrata* is a species complex, to date with three newly described species segregated from it in New Zealand (von Konrat et al. 2011, 2012). One species, *Frullania congesta* Gottsch Linderb. & Nees was accepted by many authors as a synonym of *F. rostrata* for decades, following Hattori (1978). However, von Konrat et al. (2006a) recognized *F. congesta* as a distinct species, underscoring the important need to examine type material, critically re-examine morphological concepts, and use a broad array of tools at our disposal. This was later reinforced with molecular data (Hentschel et al. 2009) that supported its placement, not only as a distinct species, but in an entirely different subgenus.

Here we continue that process distinguishing from *F. rostrata* a new species which historical herbarium specimens show had been incorrectly placed within that species on the basis of its overall gross morphological similarity. This paper is part of a broader, on-going, collaborative regional study of *Frullania* species by us that includes the botanical regions of New Zealand, Australia, the Pacific, South East Asia, and South America. The authors on-going investigations also encompasses a systematic revision of several subclades within *Frullania*, including *F. subg. Microfrullania* (R. M. Schust.) R. M. Schust., where the new species is placed. The new species as *Frullania* “sp. indet.” in von Konrat et al. (2012) was included in a molecular phylogeny based on the nr ITS2 region and cp trnL-trnF intergenic spacer sequences. In that study the new species is nested within other members of *F. subg. Microfrullania* and appears as sister to a clade that included *F. rostrata* accessions and the tropical *F. parhamii* Pearson. Subsequent to that work, the authors re-examined herbarium specimens, the second author carried our further fieldwork, and together found several morphologically identical specimens that are here ascribed to the new species. Preliminary unpublished molecular assessment of these specimens confirm our morphological observations and its genetic identity, which is very stable and clearly different from *F. rostrata*.

Detailed light microscopic and SEM micrographs as well as a brief comparison with morphologically similar species are provided. The new species, although only known from scant collections has a seemingly broad distribution. Its current range is known from the southernmost main island of New Zealand, Rakiura/Stewart Island, to the northernmost part of the North Island. The plant has obviously been overlooked due to the morphological similarity with the ubiquitous *F. rostrata* described over 170 years ago. For consistency and clarity through this article, the results and discussion that follows refers to the newly recognised species as *Frullania toropuku*.

**METHODS**

**Herbarium material.** Dried herbarium material was examined from AK, BM, CANB, CRI, F, G, GOET, MEL, MELU, MPN, NICH, NY, P, S, WELT, and WTU. Herbarium acronyms follow Thiers (2013).

**Morphological study.** Where necessary, plant material was cleared to remove pigmentation using the method outlined by von Konrat and Braggins (2001a) and the cell layers of the capsule wall were separated as described by von Konrat et al. (1999). Microscopy techniques, measurements, the use of descriptors to indicate abundance and frequency, terminology of spore ornamentation, preparation of material (including spores for the SEM studies) are outlined in detail by von Konrat and Braggins (2001b) and von Konrat et al. (2006a, b).

**Species concept.** Although the determination of species is regarded as one of the most important activities of the taxonomist, the majority of systematists undertaking monographs and revisions of vascular plants do not discuss the concepts or the criteria to delimit species (McDade 1995). A similar statement can undoubtedly be applied to liverwort systematics (von Konrat et al. 2006a, 2010). Here, we adopt a hierarchical model as promoted by Mayden (1997). This model considers the Evolutionary Species Concept as a theoretically robust primary species concept, as well as a bridging, secondary or operational species concept. This is discussed in the context of *Frullania* by von Konrat et al. (2006a).
TAXONOMY

ARTIFICIAL KEY DISTINGUISHING *FRULLANIA TOROPUKU* FROM MORPHOLOGICALLY ALLIED SPECIES OF *F. SUBG. MICROFRULLANIA*, INCLUDING THOSE DISTRIBUTED IN NEW ZEALAND.

1. Leaf-lobes with denticulate to coarsely dentate and hyaline margins ........................................
   *F. sect. Microfrullania: F. chevalieri* (R. M. Schust.)
   R. M. Schust., *F. microscopica* Pearson, *F. parhamii*
   R. M. Schust. [incl. New Zealand, New Caledonia,
   and Fiji]

1′ Leaf-lobes with entire margins, lacking any form of
   marginal dentition, and lacking hyaline margin . . . 2

2. Stylus with a distinct, subrectangular shape and
truncate apex; lobules with a distinctive angular
   projection immediately above the slit ..............
   ............................................. *F. truncatistyla*
   von Konrat, Hentschel, J. Heinrichs & Bragginis*
   [North Island, South Island, Stewart Island]

2′ Stylus sickle-shaped, subtriangular to triangular;
lobules without a distinctive angular projection
absent (not to be confused with the ± discoloured,
gibbous, cell above mouth) ........................... 3

3. Plants dioecious; perianth 3-keeled, smooth; the first
branch leaf (BL1) of a *Frullania*-type branch often
typical of the normal stem leaves, with an explanate
dorsal segment and stylus and saccate ventral seg-
ment ................................................................. 4

3′ Plants monocious; perianth plicate, 3 major keels
+2–3 supplementary keels, the perianth surface con-
spicuously ornamented, bullate; the first branch leaf
(BL1) of a *Frullania*-type branch often reduced in
size and atypical of the normal stem leaves, with
all three segments explanate or at most sulcate,
and leaves characteristic of the main stem not develop-
ing until either BL2, BL3 or BL4 ...........................
   ............................................. *F. toropuku*
   [North Island, Stewart Island]

4. Lobules typically at an angle in relation to the
stem, leaf-lobe median cells smaller than basal
cells and with 3–4 oil-bodies per cell, occupying
<50% of the area of the cell lumen; perianth typically
3-keeled ................................................... 5

4′ Lobules varied, typically subparallel to the stem;
leaf lobe median cells forming a band (vitta) of
cells as large as basal cells and with 1–2 oil-bodies
per cell, occupying almost entire cell lumen; peri-
anth plicate 5–6 keeled ................................. 5

5. Leaf lobes often squarrose; main stem underleaves
± as wide as stem; leaf lobule clavate, cell walls
distinctly flexuose toward the lobule apex . . . . . . . . . .
   ............................................. *F. pseudomeyeniana* S. Hatt. [New Caledonia]

5′ Leaf lobes flat, not squarrose; main stem underleaves
wider than stem; leaf lobule cylindrically helmet-
shaped, cell walls becoming distinctly semi-straight
 toward lobule apex ........................................

*Frullania toropuku* von Konrat, de Lange & Larrain, *sp. nov.*

*Frullaniae rostratae similis, sed statuta saepe breviore,
statu monoico, elateribus numero minore per capsulam
(12–22), lobulo folii ramuli primius saepe paene vel
plene explanato differt.*

TYPE: NEW ZEALAND, NORTH ISLAND, Te Paki
Ecological Region and District, Te Paki, North Cape
Scientific Reserve, “Badlands Area”, In a small *Phyl-
locladus* “forest”. Saxi-terricolous – forming a loose
crust over serpentine rock and ferrocrete on the margin
of a small ephemeral stream draining a large area
of heavily eroded ferrocrete and bauxite, c. 180 m.,
34°24″2′S, 173°1″16′E, 19 Oct. 2009, *P. J. de Lange
8038* [HOLOTYPE: AK; ISOTYPE: F].

Plants small (main shoots 300–500 µm wide),
typically olive-green to occasionally copper-
brown, and closely to loosely adhering to sub-
strate. Leading stem to 10 mm long and to 50 µm
in diameter (without leaves), 5–6(–7) cells wide,
little differentiation between cortical cells (12–16
in no.) and medullary cells (7–10 in no.), former
slightly smaller than the latter, both with firm
walls, lumen irregularly shaped. Branching often
irregularly to occasionally regularly pinnate. Di-
omorphic branching, with both *Frullania*-type (FB)
and occasionally, *Lejeunea*-type (LB) branching.
Initial appendages of FB: First branch underleaf
(BUL1) always with three distinct segments, the
ventral lamina divided for *ca* ½ its length into
two subequally sized lobes +1 dorsal lobe which
is usually saccate, or occasionally sulcate to ex-
planate. First branch leaf (BL1) varies, either:
reduced in size, ventral segment elobulate, and
explainate to sulcate, with leaves characteristic of
the main stem starting at BL2–BL3(–BL4); or the
appendages of BL1 ± characteristic of normal stem leaves (i.e. BL1: 1 explanate dorsal lobe + 1 sac- cate lobule + 1 stylus). Initial appendages of LB: BUL1 and BL1–BL3 are always reduced in size and lobule-free, formation of normal lobulate leaves occurring thereafter.

Stem leaves of main branch flat when dry and wet, slightly imbricate to contiguous, suborbicular to broadly oval, to 275 µm long × 175 µm wide with incurved distal margins, and dorsal margins extending beyond the farther edge of the stem, rounded to subacute apices and non-auriculate at the base, margins entire and smooth dorsal surface. Lobules ± remote from the stem (lobule attached to stem by 3–4 cells) and at angles of 40–50° with the stem so that lobules tilted outwards; lobules similar in colour to other organs; cylindrically pitcher-shaped (orbicular in cross-section with up to 24 cells in circumference) and ca 1.5–2 × long as wide, lobules large (its area obscuring at least 1/3 × the exposed area of the dorsal lobe), 110–150 µm long × 60–80 µm wide; somewhat dorsiventrally compressed near mouth as compared to gibbous upper third, the opening wide, extending along the abaxial lobule margin; ca 1/3 from lobule mouth there is usually a ± enlarged and elongated, gibbous, slightly protuberant cell; free margin of lobular mouth crenulate-sinuate, especially near the sinus, usually hyaline near mouth, lobule apex obtuse. Stylus, triangular, ± large (1/2–2/3 the length of the lobule), 50–75 µm long × 30–40 µm wide, 5–7 cells wide × 5–9 cells high (15–25 cells in total), rarely with a slime papilla at apex. Underleaves of leading stems, contiguous or just overlapping with lobules, underleaves contiguous to distant from each other, small (ca 0.25 × the size of leaf lobes), (1.00–)1.25–1.50(–2.00) × the stem in width, underleaf usually as long as wide, occasionally slightly wider than long, 80–110 µm long × 65–85 µm wide, broadest at middle (8–11 cells wide); entire lateral margins; apex of underleaf bilobed to 1/2 its length, lobes separated by a narrowly U to V-shaped sinus; each lobe with 4–5 cells at the base, lobes with acute to subacute apices. Rhizoid-initial area present near base of underleaf, rhizoids occasionally seen, subhyaline, in short bundles. Microphyllous branching absent, or with pseudo-microphyllous branches where the lobules of secondary stems ± similar size to main stem, but lobes and underleaves of secondary branches markedly smaller than those of leading stems. Underleaves of secondary branches usually with a V-shaped sinus separating the underleaf lobes, and only 2–3 cells wide at the base of each lobe.

Lobe marginal cells ± rectangular, hyaline walls subequally thickened, cell cavities brownish red, to 7–9 µm long × 7–9 µm wide; median cells ± subquadrate, rectangular, or 5-sided; hyaline walls subequally thickened, intermediate thickening rare to absent, wall thickness to 2 µm wide (without intermediate thickenings), cell cavities of median cells brownish red, 8–12 µm long × 8–10 µm wide; cells becoming gradually larger basally, cavities of the basal median group of cells to 14–18 µm long × 12–16 µm wide; walls of basal cells with subnodulose trigones and occasional intermediate thickenings, walls and cavities brownish red. Median cells of underleaves often with small, hyaline, trigones with no intermediate thickening or with subequally thickened walls so that the trigones become indistinct from the longer walls, cell cavities to 12 µm long × 12 µm wide. Median cells of lobule slightly longer than wide, cell cavities 1.5–2 × long as wide, to 12 µm long × 7 µm wide, walls flexuose with indistinct trigones and small nodulose intermediate thickenings.

Oil-bodies of the leaf lobe median cells 2–3 (–4) per cell, typically small, spherical (1–2)–3(–4) µm in diam. to ovoid or ellipsoidal (2–3(–4) × (1–3)–2(–3) µm, subhyaline, without any significant, visible, internal structure i.e. giving the appearance of being almost homogeneous. The oil-bodies are often similar in size or slightly smaller than the chloroplasts; occasionally the oilbodies are larger than the chloroplasts. The oil-bodies of the lobule and underleaf are similar to those encountered in the leaf lobe. Oil-bodies becoming progressively larger towards the basal cells: usually 3–5 per cell, to 7 µm × 5 µm, coarsely granular, ocelli never present. Asexual reproduction not known.

Monoicous (often with the androecium directly posterior to the female bracts or with an-
Fig. 1. Frullania toropuku von Konrat, de Lange & Larraín, sp. nov. A – ventral view of stem, B – leaf-lobule and stylus, C – median cells of leaf-lobe, D – ventral view of monoicous plant, including an androecium and a short subfloral innovation arising immediately below an unfertilized gynoecium, E – dorsal view of perianth illustrating plicate keels, F – elevated, conical cells on surface of keel of perianth – Scale bars: A & E = 250 μm; B = 50 μm; C = 15 μm; D = 500 μm; F = 20 μm (all from holotype, de Lange 8038).
Frullania toropuku von Konrat, de Lange & Larrain, sp. nov. – ventral view of monoicous plant, including 3-keeled perianth, terminal, on a short subfloral innovation arising immediately below an unfertilized gynoecium, B – ventral view, an unfertilized gynoecium with an androecium (m) immediately posterior to the female bracts, C – a subfloral innovation (lateral branch) replacing the outermost bract-lobule of the female bract of a gynoecium, D – ventral view of perianth and subfloral innovation, E – dorsal view of perianth with cells slightly elevated, F – leaf-lobule, cylindrically pitcher-shaped, somewhat dorsiventrally compressed near mouth as compared to gibbose upper third, the opening wide, extending along the abaxial lobule margin; ca 1/3 from lobule mouth there is a ± enlarged and elongated, gibbose, slightly protuberant cell, G – surface of perianth, cells elevated as small tubercles, H – ventral view of main stem, I – perianth beak, cylindric, rim of the perianth mouth smooth, but the inner beak surface covered with large single-celled protuberances. Scale bars: A, C, D = 200 µm; B, E, H = 100 µm; F = 50 µm; G, I = 20 µm (all from paratype von Konrat 97/115).

Additional Material Seen (Paratypes): New Zealand, North Island, Coromandel State Forest Park, near summit of Table Mt. On bark of Lepidothamnus intermedius (Kirk) Quinn. Poorly drained, mucky, fine, peat floor in wet mossy forest of Phyllocladus toatoa (Sol.) Lamb. with occasional Dacrydium cupressinum Sol. ex Molloy, 790 m., 37°3′ S, 175°40′ E, Feb. 1997, M. von Konrat 97/115; Little Barrier Island, near junction of Track 1 and Thumb Track. Beach/kauri forest, epiphyte on Cyathodes fasciculata (G. Forst.) Allan [sic], 1200 ft., 23 Jan. 1980, J. E. Braggins & J. E. Beever 80/173; Stewart Island, Rakiura/Stewart Island National Park, in vicinity of Dothamnus mucky, fine, peat floor in wet mossy forest of Phyllocladus toatoa (Sol.) Lamb. with occasional Dacrydium cupressinum Sol. ex Molloy, 790 m., 37°3′ S, 175°40′ E, Feb. 1997, M. von Konrat 97/115; Little Barrier Island, near junction of Track 1 and Thumb Track. Beach/kauri forest, epiphyte on Cyathodes fasciculata (G. Forst.) Allan [sic], 1200 ft., 23 Jan. 1980, J. E. Braggins & J. E. Beever 80/173; Stewart Island, Rakiura/Stewart Island National Park, in vicinity of

Capsule valves to 275 µm long × 200 µm wide; elaters (12–)14–20(–22) in total (common arrangement on two alternating valves: 1+3; 2+3, elaters rarely arranged in rows of 3 on the valve); unispiral, to 175 µm long × 15 µm wide, elater surface irregularly rugose-granulate. Epidermal cell layer (7–)8–9 cells at the widest region. Epidermal cells with 4–5 sides, the thickenings at the angles of the epidermal cells extend out as lobes; consequently the juxtaposed thickenings of adjoining corners form a (1–) 2 (–3) unevenly lobed configuration. Inner cell layer: portions of the radial walls are spread irregularly over the free tangential face and are strongly thickened, forming a sinuate partial layer of thickening or jigsaw like pattern. Spores globose, to 43 µm at widest axis, interspersed with 8–10 rosettes; each rosette to 4.5 µm in diam., bearing a ring of 6–8 conspicuous protuberances; these primary projections never papillate or branched, 1–1.51 × as long as wide, 1–1.5 µm long × 0.75–1.00 µm wide at base, gradually tapering to an obtuse or rounded apex; spore wall papillae otherwise densely distributed between regions of the rosettes.

Additional Material Seen (Paratypes): New Zealand, North Island, Coromandel State Forest Park, near summit of Table Mt. On bark of Lepidothamnus intermedius (Kirk) Quinn. Poorly drained, mucky, fine, peat floor in wet mossy forest of Phyllocladus toatoa (Sol.) Lamb. with occasional Dacrydium cupressinum Sol. ex Molloy, 790 m., 37°3′ S, 175°40′ E, Feb. 1997, M. von Konrat 97/115; Little Barrier Island, near junction of Track 1 and Thumb Track. Beach/kauri forest, epiphyte on Cyathodes fasciculata (G. Forst.) Allan [sic], 1200 ft., 23 Jan. 1980, J. E. Braggins & J. E. Beever 80/173; Stewart Island, Rakiura/Stewart Island National Park, in vicinity of Dothamnus mucky, fine, peat floor in wet mossy forest of Phyllocladus toatoa (Sol.) Lamb. with occasional Dacrydium cupressinum Sol. ex Molloy, 790 m., 37°3′ S, 175°40′ E, Feb. 1997, M. von Konrat 97/115; Little Barrier Island, near junction of Track 1 and Thumb Track. Beach/kauri forest, epiphyte on Cyathodes fasciculata (G. Forst.) Allan [sic], 1200 ft., 23 Jan. 1980, J. E. Braggins & J. E. Beever 80/173; Stewart Island, Rakiura/Stewart Island National Park, in vicinity of

Fig. 2 Frullania toropuku von Konrat, de Lange & Larrain, sp. nov. A – ventral view of monoicous plant, including 3-keeled perianth, terminal, on a short subfloral innovation arising immediately below an unfertilized gynoecium, B – ventral view, an unfertilized gynoecium with an androecium (m) immediately posterior to the female bracts, C – a subfloral innovation (lateral branch) replacing the outermost bract-lobule of the female bract of a gynoecium, D – ventral view of perianth and subfloral innovation, E – dorsal view of perianth with cells slightly elevated, F – leaf-lobule, cylindrically pitcher-shaped, somewhat dorsiventrally compressed near mouth as compared to gibbose upper third, the opening wide, extending along the abaxial lobule margin; ca 1/3 from lobule mouth there is a ± enlarged and elongated, gibbose, slightly protuberant cell, G – surface of perianth, cells elevated as small tubercles, H – ventral view of main stem, I – perianth beak, cylindric, rim of the perianth mouth smooth, but the inner beak surface covered with large single-celled protuberances. Scale bars: A, C, D = 200 µm; B, E, H = 100 µm; F = 50 µm; G, I = 20 µm (all from paratype von Konrat 97/115).

**Distribution.** Endemic to New Zealand where it is so far known from several, seemingly disjunct localities from North Cape, Hauturu – Little Barrier Island, the southern Coromandel Peninsula and then Stewart Island. This pattern is undoubtedly artificial, and we believe that further careful collecting is likely to find further populations between these three locations.

**Etymology.** The epithet ‘toropuku’ is derived from Te Reo, the language of the Maori people of Aotearoa – New Zealand, and which means ‘secretive’ and ‘mysterious’ (Moorefield 2005). This aptly describes the cryptic nature of this species which has undoubtedly contributed to its failure to be noticed by New Zealand hepaticologists.

**Ecology**

Considering its recent date of recognition and its cryptic nature very little is known about the ecology of Frullania toropuku. The only detailed study of its habitats and associated species come from observations made at North Cape of the North Island. There it was locally common at 180 m a.s.l., in a very small area of Phyllocladus aff. trichomanoides D. Don and Metrosideros excelsa Sol. ex Gaertn. forest overlying weathered serpentine and ferrocrete soils. Within the understorey associated vascular plants included Cassinia amoena Cheeseman, Geniostoma ligustrifolium var. crassum Cheeseman, Coprosma spathulata subsp. hikuruana de Lange & Heenan, Pittosporum pimeleoides subsp. majus R. C. Cooper, Pseudopanax aff. lessonii (DC.) K. Koch, Astelia banksii A. Cunn., Leptecophylla juniperina (J. R. Forst. & G. Forst.) C. M. Weiller, Leucopogon xerampelinus de Lange, Heenan & M. I. Dawson, Pteridium esculentum (G. Forst.) Cockayne and masses of the parasitic vine Cassytha paniculata R. Br. In this dense vegetation the Frullania grew in heavy shade, in one site, on serpentine saprolite and an associated ‘mix’ of ferrocrete colluvium and, to some extent, alluvium that had been washed down stream from an adjacent erosion gully. In this habitat the Frullania was dominant though it grew in loose association with Heteroscyphus cuneistipulus (Steph.) Schiffn. and H. triacanthus (Hook. f. & Taylor) Schiffn. The habitat occupied here is as much drought prone as it is subjected to frequent flash floods. Whilst the climate of North
Cape is generally very dry, the area is often subjected to lengthy periods of immersion in dense sea fogs which also provide much moisture. Nevertheless the liverwort flora of the serpentinised zone of that area (in which F. toropuku has only been found) is rather depauperate with less than 80 taxa known from the 120 ha serpentinite exposure (P. J. de Lange unpubl. data).

Nothing could be more contrasting than the population found on Table Mountain of the Coromandel Peninsula, North Island, an 800 m high, dish shaped, eroded remnant of a solidified crater lake. Here the high rainfall and altitude have contributed to produce a montane ‘cloud forest’ overlying a very poorly draining forest peat. The dominant trees in this habitat comprise tortured and twisted Lepidothamnus intermedius and Manoao colensoi (Hook.) Molloy, through which Metrosideros umbellata Cav., Weinmannia sylvicola Sol. ex A. Cunn., Ixerba brexioides, Dracophyllum traversii Hook. f., D. latifolium A. Cunn. and the uncommon shrub Epacris sinclairii Hook. f. and Dracophyllum patens W. R. B. Oliv. are characteristic vascular species. The high rainfall, closed ‘cloud forest’ canopy and poor drainage provide an excellent habitat for bryophytes, the full diversity of which has yet to be properly examined.

Similarly, Stewart Island, the southernmost of the three major islands of New Zealand, where F. toropuku was also collected, has a highly oceanic climate, humid, with rainfall evenly spread throughout the year (McGlone & Wilson 1996). Frullania toropuku was collected in a stunted Dacrydium cupressinum and Metrosideros umbellata forest near Patternson Inlet where it was growing admixed with F. falciloba, F. fugax and F. congesta.

These observations suggest that F. toropuku has no immediately obvious ecological preferences. Clearly further field study of its habitats is necessary.

**Conservation Status**

Frullania toropuku, being known only from four widely disparate localities, and with minimal data on its abundance, ecology and threats readily qualifies as ‘Data Deficient’ using the New Zealand Threat Classification System (Townsend et al. 2008).

**Discussion**

In many respects this species is not markedly different from smaller forms of F. rostrata, with which it is closely allied too, and with which it grows in close proximity at least at North Cape. However, the two species are distinguishable as indicated in the key. Four salient features that together characterise F. toropuku include: 1) the monoicous habit; 2) the perianth with an ornamented surface; 3) the small number of elaters per capsule (only 12–22); 4) features associated with the first branch leaf (BL1) of a Frullania-type branch; often reduced in size and atypical of the normal stem leaves, with all three segments explanate or at most sulcate, and leaves characteristic of the main stem not developing until either BL2, BL3 or BL4; and 5) occasionally having Lejeunea-type branching. Dark-brown pigmented forms of F. toropuku may possibly be mistaken for another, very small, New Zealand species, F. truncatistyla, but the large, rectangular, stylus, which has a truncate apex, easily differentiates the latter. The lobule of F. toropuku also lacks the specialised, protruding cell, which is a critical feature of F. truncatistyla.

Previously, Schuster (1970, 1992) noted that a southern South American species, F. microcaulis [as Amphijubula microcaulis (Gola) R. M. Schust.] had the least number of elaters per capsule for Frullania species, ranging from a total of 10–20 elaters, and partly on that basis, stated that F. microcaulis, had a unique position – either as it’s own subgenus within Frullania or that it should be maintained at the generic level. However, some specimens of F. toropuku may also have, as few as 12, or as many as 22 elaters per capsule; this is the only other species of Frullania with such a low number of elaters. Interestingly, by inference, one can most likely discount the possibility that the small number of elaters per capsule valve is a function, or a product, of the small size of the capsule valve per se; the smallest forms of F. rostrata s. str. and F. hodgsoniae von Konrat,
Braggins, Hentschel & J. Heinrichs (which have capsules valves similar in size to those of F. toropuku) consistently have a greater number of elaters per capsule valve than F. toropuku.

CONCLUSION

The challenge of recognizing synonyms and sibling species is clearly enormous, yet the growing number of molecular studies at the species level will aid efforts in quantifying the contribution of cryptic or near-cryptic species to the global biodiversity of liverworts. The majority of these studies have been confined to sequence data. However, Vanderpoorten and Shaw (2010) encourage bryophyte systematists working at the species level to supplement sequence data with information from other kinds of markers that are better suited to recently diverged taxa, suggesting that DNA fingerprinting methods including RFLPs, ISSRs, and microsatellites, are especially useful for many species-level systematic problems. It is clear, we urgently need more species-level molecular investigations with extensive population sampling to approximate the actual diversity of Frullania, and to elucidate speciation processes and distribution range formation (Heinrichs et al. 2010; Ramaiya et al. 2010). Nonetheless, monographic and revisional work based on detailed morphological investigation will remain fundamental. Frullania rostrata is a very good example that was previously considered a polymorphic species, yet detailed morphological studies combined with supporting molecular evidences is revealing a growing number of well defined aggregate species with well supported morphological boundaries. Examination of live material coupled with critical study of fertile reproductive structures is critical in Frullania and some of the apparent cryptic species may not be so cryptic afterall in some species complexes.
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