

Polish Botanical Journal 59(2): 235–247, 2014 DOI: 10.2478/pbj-2014-0039

NEW RECORDS OF *PHACUS* AND *MONOMORPHINA* TAXA (EUGLENOPHYTA) FOR THAILAND

Kritsana Duangjan¹, Konrad Wołowski & Yuwadee Peerapornpisal

Abstract. The diversity of *Phacus* and *Monomorphina* (Euglenophyta) from northern Thailand was studied in various water bodies of Chiang Mai, Chiang Rai, Lamphun, Lampang and Phayao provinces. This paper presents 25 taxa of *Phacus* and 1 *Monomorphina* new for Thailand, including 3 *Phacus* taxa new for Southeast Asia. Several varieties and forms were recognized. All are briefly described, with original illustrations.

Key words: biodiversity, Euglenophyta, Monomorphina, new record, Phacus, Thailand

Kritsana Duangjan, Microbiology Section, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; e-mail: vvb hunter@hotmail.com

Konrad Wołowski, Department of Phycology, W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lubicz 46, 31-512 Kraków, Poland; e-mail: k.wolowski@botany.pl

Yuwadee Peerapornpisal, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; e-mail: yuwadee.p@cmu.ac.th

INTRODUCTION

Among the twelve genera of photosynthetic euglenophytes the most frequently reported ones are *Phacus* Dujard. and *Monomorphina* Mereschk. *emend*. Kosmala & Zakryś. The cells of this group are rigid, oval, spherical, clavate or pear-shaped, flattened and usually leaf-shaped. According to Starmach (1983) and Wołowski (1998, 2011) they occur mainly in ponds, marshes, weedy waters, rivers and bogs, together with other species of euglenoids. Like other euglenoids they are considered to be saprobes (e.g., Sladeček & Sladečkova 1996), most of which are classified as β - α mesosaprobes. Regardless of the water assessment system, a large number of euglenoids are always associated with organic pollution.

Information about Euglenophya taxa in Thailand is still scarce. As in many others countries, the main sources of information about euglenoids are references in studies investigating various taxonomic groups of algae; the majority of these works contain neither iconographic nor descriptive documentation (Wołowski 1998). This applies to all the hydrobiological and floristic studies of Thailand known to us. Data on 14 euglenophyte taxa occurring in central Thailand are included in a study of phytoplankton from Lake Boraphet (Hirano 1975). Pongswat et al. (2004) and Hanpongkittikul and Wongrat (2005) also give some data on euglenophytes from this part of Thailand. Some hydrobiological studies of water bodies in southern Thailand mention single taxa (4) from the Banglang Reserve (Ariyadej et al. 2004). In a study of freshwater protozoa of Chonburi Province in eastern Thailand, Matchacheep and Dumrongrojwattana (2004) reported 24 euglenophyte taxa: 6 taxa of Phacus, 11 Euglena and 7 Trachelomonas. Ngearnpat (2003) and Panuvanitchakorn (2003) gave a few data on euglenoids occurring in various water bodies of northeastern Thailand. Very useful data can be found in work reporting the biodiversity of freshwater protozoa in Thailand (Charubhun & Charubhun 2000), including data on 44 euglenophytes, including 6 colorless taxa and 12 Phacus, 16 Euglena, 6 Trachelomonas, 2 Strombomonas, 1 Lepocinclis and 1 Cryptoglena.

¹ Corresponding author

The main sources of information about the group are references given by Lewmanomont et al. (1995) and Pongswat et al. (2004) in publications on different algal taxonomic groups. In the most detailed monograph on euglenophytes from Thailand, Yamagishi (2010) gave data for 5 taxa of Lepocinclis, 18 Phacus, 31 Trachelomonas and 7 Strombomonas occurring in ponds and lakes of central and southern Thailand. All of them are described and have good documentation. Chaimongkhon and Peerapornpisal (2012) recognized several taxa from ditches around a rice paddy in Saraphi District, Chiang Mai. Peerapornpisal (2013) documented 12 Phacus taxa from freshwaters without information about the site of occurrence. That work also notes 12 taxa of Euglena, 5 Lepocinclis, 6 Strombomonas, 12 Trachelomonas and 26 colorless taxa. Duangjan et al. (2012) described, with LM and SEM documentation, 49 Trachelomonas taxa reported from northern Thailand. Duangjan and Wołowski (2013) made a detailed study of new taxa of Strombomonas and Trachelomonas.

This paper reports the results of a study on *Phacus* and *Monomorphina* from various small water bodies in the northern part of Thailand, a country in which tropical wet and dry climate prevails in most areas.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples were taken from April 2009 to March 2010 in Chiang Mai, Chiang Rai, Lamphun, Lampang and Phayao provinces (Fig. 1a). All the sites where *Phacus* and *Monomorphina* occurred are listed in Table 1.

Representatives of *Phacus* and *Monomorphina* were found in highly polluted water bodies. The water pH ranged from 5.0 to 9.4, conductivity from 74 to 1104 μ S/cm, and nutrient levels of n.d.–2.37 mg/L nitrate nitrogen, n.d.–5.62 mg/L ammonium nitrogen and n.d.–1.45 mg/L orthophosphates.

Samples were collected with a plankton net (10 μ m pore size) into a plastic flask (*ca* 100 ml) and divided into two parts. One part was preserved with Lugol's solution and studied by SEM, and the other part was transported as fresh material and studied by LM in the laboratory. All descriptions are based on phenotype observations of living specimens by LM.

The physicochemical properties of water such as pH, conductivity and nutrients (nitrate nitrogen, am-

monium nitrogen, orthophosphate) were analyzed. Water pH and conductivity were determined with an SMS125 pH/ORP controller. Nutrient concentrations (PO₄, NO₃, NH₄) were measured in the laboratory: nitrate nitrogen analysis by the cadmium reduction method, ammonium nitrogen analysis by the Nesslerization method, orthophosphate by the ascorbic acid method (Greenberg *et al.* 2005).

Nomenclature data were elaborated according to Starmach (1983), Pochmann (1942), Huber-Pestalozzi (1955), Wołowski (1998), Wołowski and Hindák (2005), Wołowski (2011), Marin *et al.* (2003), Kim *et al.* (2013) and Ciugulea and Triemer (2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Here we report 25 *Phacus* taxa and one *Mono-morphina* species as new for the Thai flora of algae. Species new to Southeast Asia are aster-isked (*). All descriptions are based on observations of morphological characters. Both genera occurred in high-nutrient water, especially in ponds, swamps and ditches, and frequently they occurred together.

Monomorphina pseudopyrum Kosmala, Milanowski, Brzóska, Pękala, Kwiatowski & Zakryś 2007 Figs 2a & 3a

Cells 26–37 μ m long and 10–16 μ m wide, spindle-shaped, pear-shaped; one orbicular perforated chloroplast; two lateral paramylon bodies; pellicle obliquely ribbed; nucleus usually in posterior part.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden ponds (AG, PK), fish ponds (UM, MJ1), Lampang – fish pond (KL); Lamphun – ditches (LL, LP2), field ponds (LP1, BL); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden pond (BY1, BY2); Phayao – fish pond (PS1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Probably cosmopolitan.

Phacus acuminatusStokes var. acuticauda (Roll)Pochmann 1942Figs 2b & 3b

Cells 30.0–37.5 µm long and 22–30 µm wide, broadly triangular, incised anterior end, shallow



Fig. 1. Study area in northern Thailand (a) and sampling sites (b – garden pond at Chiang Mai University, c – dam built across the Mae Kuang River, d – ditch in front of Phayao Kindergarten, e – fish pond at Wat Umong).

dorsal groove extending half to three quarters of cell length; distinctly conical cauda; two unequal, ring-shaped paramylon bodies.

NOTE. The variety is barely distinguishable

from the nominative variety, from which it differs by having cells triangular in outline.

SITES: Chiang Mai – dam on Mae Kuang River (MK); Lampang – fish pond (TJ).

Province	Site code	Geographical coordinates	Site characteristics
1. Chiang Mai	AG	18°47′36.00″N 98°57′40.02″E	*Garden pond at Chiang Mai University, shallow, transparent, with <i>Lotus</i> spp.
	UM	18°46′55.98″N 98°57′05.46″E	*Fish pond at Wat Umong, mostly with catfish
	MJ1	18°53′55.80″N 99°00′59.94″E	*Fish pond at Maejo University, with Mekong giant catfish
	MK	18°56′36.1″N 99°08′5.90″E	Dam built across the Mae Kuang River
	РК	18°47′36.48″N 98°57′54.72″E	Garden pond in the park near Chiang Mai University Hall
	MJ2	18°53′54.90″N 99°0′59.88″ E	Fish pond at Maejo University, with Mekong giant catfish
	MJ3	18°53′55.38″N 99°01′02.94″E	Fish pond at Maejo University, with Mekong giant catfish
	MJ4	18°53′56.28″N 99°00′57.90″E	Fish pond at Maejo University, with Mekong giant catfish
2. Lampang	KL	18°19′8.22″N 99°28′0.54″E	*Fish pond at Khelang Nakorn School, with Nile tilapia
	TJ	18°18′37.80″N 99°29′41.94″E	*Fish pond at Wat Phra Jao Tanjai, with Nile tilapia, with water hyacinth
	RF	18°18′54.12″N 99°26′22.50″E	Rice paddy at road side
3. Lamphun	LL	18°32'44.04"N 99°01'57.60"E	Ditch in front of Lanlao restaurant
	BL	18°36'41.70"N 99°02'03.18"E	Field pond in front of Bualuang restaurant
	LP1	18°38′07.62″N 99°03′03.42″E	Field pond near agriculture areas, at road side
	LP2	18°38′06.66″N 99°03′02.58″E	Ditch near agriculture areas
4. Chiang Rai	CC1	19°16′02.46″N 99°30′42.60″E	Fish pond in the area of Cabbage & Condom Inn and Restaurant, with a carp
	BY1	19°47′07.20″N 99°44′40.38″E	Garden pond at the Pa Ko Dam Tobacco station, shallow 4.3 Hui Yah Khamma Reservoir
	WM	19°10′57.30″N 99°29′41.46″E	Reservoir for the preservation of freshwater fish
	CC2	19°16′02.46″N 99°30′42.60″E	Ditch in the area of Cabbage & Condom Inn and Restaurant
	BY2	19°47′5.52″N 99°44′39.24″E	Garden pond at the Pa Ko Dam Tobacco station, shallow, with ducks
5. Рнауао	AS	19°10′17.16″N 99°54′04.38″E	*Ditch in front of Phayao School Kindergarten
	PS1	19°10′16.80″N 99°54′35.82″E	*Fish pond at Phayaopitthayakom School, with fishpens
	PS2	19°10′14.70″N 99°54′35.82″E	Garden pond in area of Phayaopitthayakom School

Table 1. Sampling sites. * = samples collected every month over a single year from April 2009 to March 2010

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common.

Phacus acuminatus var. indica (Pochmann)Huber-Pestalozzi 1955Figs 2c & 3c

Cells 22 μ m long and 17.5–20.0 μ m wide, broadly rectangular, incised anterior end, shallow dorsal groove extending half to three quarters of cell length; short cauda; two disc-shaped paramylon bodies.

NOTE. The variety is barely distinguishable from the nominative variety, from which it differs by having cells rectangular in outline.

SITES: Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden pond (BY1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus acuminatus var. javana (Pochmann)Huber-PestalozziFigs 2d & 3d

Cells 30.0–32.5 μ m long and 25.0–27.5 μ m wide, broadly ovoid, incised anterior end, shallow dorsal groove extending half to three quarters of cell length; short cauda; two ring-shaped paramylon bodies lying side by side.

NOTE. The variety is barely distinguishable from the nominative variety, from which it differs by having cells pentagonal in outline.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden pond (AG), fish pond (MJ4); Lamphun – field pond (BL, LP1); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1); Phayao – fish pond (PS1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus alatus Klebs 1883 Figs 2e & 3e

Cells 42.5–45.0 µm long and 30–35 µm wide, broadly ovoid, posterior part with strong curved cauda; two large lateral paramylon bodies.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM), Lampang – fish pond (KL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Worldwide, commonly reported.

Phacus anomalus var. pullus-gallinaeNygaard1949Figs 2f & 3f

Cells 22.0–28.6 μ m long and 15–20 μ m wide, ±elliptical in outline, 14–15 μ m thick, two unequal parts, broad furrow on ventral side, 3–4 μ m wide; two large paramylon bodies.

NOTE. The variety is barely distinguishable from the nominative variety, from which it differs by having a ventral furrow dividing the cell into two unequal parts.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden pond (AG), fish ponds (UM, MJ1), dam at the Mae Kuang River (MK); Lampang – fish ponds (KL, TJ); Lamphun – ditch (LL), field ponds (BL, LP); Chiang Rai – fish pond – (CC1), garden ponds – (BY1, BY2), freshwater reservoir (WM); Phayao – ditch (AS), fish pond – (PS1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common.

**Phacus asymmetricus* Sokoloff 1933

Figs 2g & 3g

Cells 40–46 μ m long and 28–38 μ m wide, irregularly and strongly twisted, with several indentations; cauda curved; two large elliptical paramylon bodies.

SITES: Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus caudatus var. tenuis Swirenko 1915 Figs 2h & 3h

Cells 28.0–37.5 μ m long and 14.0–17.5 μ m wide, ovoid, pear-shaped, often slightly twisted, ridge running full length of cell, triangular in cross-section; curved or sharply pointed 3–6 μ m long cauda; 1–2 large ring-shaped paramylon bodies.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden pond (AG) fish pond (UM), field ponds – (BL, LP1); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1) garden pond (BY1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Worldwide, probably cosmopolitan.



Fig. 2. a – Monomorphina pseudopyrum Kosmala, Milanowski, Brzoska, Pękala, Kwiatowski & Zakryś, b – Phacus acuminatus var. acuticauda (Roll) Pochmann, c – Ph. acuminatus var. indica (Pochmann) Huber-Pestalozzi, d – Ph. acuminatus var. javana (Pochmann) Huber-Pestalozzi, e – Ph. alatus Klebs, f – Ph. anomalus var. pullus-gallinae Nygaard, g – Ph. asymmetricus Prescott, h – Ph. caudatus var. tenuis Swirenko, i – Ph. contortus Bourrelly, j – Ph. contortus fo. minor Bourrelly, k – Ph. cylindraceus Popova, l – Ph. dangeardii Lemmermann, m – Ph. hispidulus (Eichwald) Lemmermann, n – Ph. inflatus var. pterophora Skuja, o – Ph. inflexus (I. Kisselev) Pochmann, p – Ph. lefevrei Bourrelly. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Phacus contortus Bourrelly 1952 Figs 2i & 3i

Cells 45–50 μ m long and 30.0–37.5 μ m wide, ovoid to triangular-ovoid, usually broadest and strongly twisted at posterior end, convex dorsally, concave ventrally, with 8–10 μ m long, narrow, curved cauda; two circular paramylon bodies. SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM), dam across Mae Kuang River (MK); Lampang – fish ponds (KL, TJ); Lamphun – ditch (LL), field pond (BL); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden pond (BY1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Common.



Fig. 3. a – Monomorphina pseudopyrum Kosmala, Milanowski, Brzoska, Pękala, Kwiatowski & Zakryś, b – Phacus acuminatus var. acuticauda (Roll) Pochmann, c – Ph. acuminatus var. indica (Pochmann) Huber-Pestalozzi, d – Ph. acuminatus var. javana (Pochmann) Huber-Pestalozzi, e – Ph. alatus Klebs, f – Ph. anomalus var. pullus-gallinae Nygaard, g – Ph. asymmetricus Prescott, h – Ph. caudatus var. tenuis Swirenko, i – Ph. contortus Bourrelly, j – Ph. contortus fo. minor Bourrelly, k – Ph. cylindraceus Popova, l – Ph. dangeardii Lemmermann, m – Ph. hispidulus (Eichwald) Lemmermann, n – Ph. inflatus var. pterophora Skuja, o – Ph. inflexus (I. Kisselev) Pochmann, p – Ph. lefevrei Bourrelly. Scale bars = 10 μm.

**Phacus contortus* fo. *minor* Bourrelly 1961 Figs 2j & 3j

Cells 28–30 µm long and 18.7–25.0 µm wide, ovoid to triangular-ovoid, usually broadest at posterior end, concave ventrally; narrow, curved cauda 8–10 μ m long; two circular paramylon bodies.

NOTE. It differs from the nominative form in the dimensions of the cell, which is smaller.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM); Lampang – fish pond (KL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus cylindraceus Popova 1955

Figs 2k & 3k

Cells (12.5–)19.0–20.0 µm long and 5.0–7.5 µm wide, long-cylindrical, obliquely truncate, shallowly bilobate, slightly narrowed at anterior and posterior ends, posterior end obtuse or pointed; two rod-shaped paramylon bodies, one large and one smaller.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM, MJ1), garden pond (PK); Lampang – fish pond (KL); Lamphun – ditch (LL), field ponds (BL, LP1); Chiang Rai – garden pond (BY1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Probably common but rarely reported.

Phacus dangeardii Lemmermann 1910

Figs 21 & 31

Cells 20.0–22.5 μ m long and 7–8 μ m wide, ovoid, distinct groove at apical; 1–2 ring-shaped paramylon bodies.

SITES: Chiang Mai – dam across Mae Kuang River (MK); Lampang – fish pond (KL); Lamphun – field pond (BL); Phayao – ditch (AS).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common.

Phacus hispidulus (Eichwald) Lemmermann 1910 Figs 2m & 3m

Cells 50 μ m long and 25 μ m wide, ovoid, median protuberance at anterior end; sharp or blunt cauda; pellicle longitudinal, with row of small spines directed to anterior; two large lateral and several smaller paramylon bodies.

SITES: Lampang – fish pond (KL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus inflatus var. pterophora Skuja 1949 Figs 2n & 3n

Cells 45-50 µm long and 28-30 µm wide, ovoid, 2 asymmetrical parts, 20-22 µm thick,

slightly curved, shorter cauda than type form (type form $4-6 \mu m$); large ring-shaped paramylon body.

NOTE. It differs from the nominative variety in the dimensions of the cell, which is bigger.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM); Lampang – fish pond (KL); Lamphun – field pond (BL); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden ponds (BY1, BY2).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus inflexus (I. Kisselev) Pochmann 1942 Figs 20 & 30

Cells 17.5-25.0 μ m long and 10–15 μ m wide, strongly S-shaped, flattened, folded almost in two, incised at anterior end; short blunt cauda; 1–2 variously sized paramylon bodies.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM); Lampang – fish pond (KL); Lamphun – field pond (BL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common.

Phacus lefevrei Bourrelly 1952 Figs 2p & 3p

Cells 45–50 µm long and 40.0–42.5 µm wide, broadly ovoid to suborbicular, shallowly bilobate, slightly narrowed at anterior end, apical groove almost the length of the cell; short round cauda; single circular or ring-shaped paramylon body.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden pond (AG); Lampang – fish pond (KL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus longicaudavar. attenuata(Pochmann)Huber-Pestalozzi1955Figs 4a & 5a

Cells 100–149 μ m long and 37.5–50.0 μ m wide, broadly obovoid, clavate in outline, bilobate at anterior end; gradually attenuating to a long thin cauda; usually a single ring-shaped or circular paramylon body.

NOTE. It differs from the nominative variety by having cells clavate in outline.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM); Lampang – fish ponds (KL, TJ); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden pond (BY1); Phayao – fish pond (PS1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Probably common.

Phacus mangini var. inflata Nygaard 1949 Figs 4b & 5b

Cells 37.5–50.0 μ m long and 25 μ m wide, asymmetrical-ovoid to broadly ovoid, 10–14 μ m thick low longitudinal groove at one side; cauda 14 μ m long; two circular paramylon bodies.

NOTE. It differs from the nominative variety by having a slightly convex ventral side of the cell.

SITES: Lampang – fish ponds (KL, TJ); Lamphun – field pond (BL); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus morii Skvortzov 1928 Figs 4c & 5c

Cells 62–68 μ m long and 33–35 μ m wide, broadly obovoid, 6–7 deep grooves on edge, bilobate at anterior end; gradually attenuating to a long thin cauda; usually with single ring-shaped or circular plate paramylon body.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM), garden pond (PK); Lampang – fish pond (TJ); Lamphun – ditch (LL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common, rarely reported.

Phacus parvulus Klebs 1883 Figs 4d & 5d

Cells 12.0–17.5 µm long and 5.0–8.0(–12.5) µm wide, obovoid to ovoid or heart-shaped, rounded and obliquely truncate anterior end; posterior end slightly tapering to a small, bluntly rounded, short cauda; one ring-shaped paramylon body.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden ponds (AG, PK), fish ponds (UM, MJ1, MJ2); Lampang – fish pond (KL), rice paddy (RF); Lamphun – ditch (LL), field ponds – (BL, LP1); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden ponds (BY1, BY2); Phayao – ditch (AS); Payao – garden pond (PS).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Cosmopolitan.

Phacus platyaulax Pochmann 1942

Figs 4e & 5e

Cells 45.0–52.5 μ m long and 27.5–35.0 μ m wide, broadly ovoid, asymmetric, twisted, with longitudinal median groove; short cauda; two large lateral and *ca* 6 small disc-shaped paramylon bodies.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden ponds (AG, PK), fish ponds (UM, MJ1, MJ3); Lampang – fish ponds (KL, TJ), rice paddy (RF); Lamphun – field ponds (BL, LP1), ditch (LP2); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden ponds (BY1, BY2); Phayao – ditch (AS), fish pond (PS1).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Common.

Phacus pleuronectes var. minuta Playfair 1921 Figs 4f & 5f

Cells 25–35 µm long and 15.0–17.5 µm wide, ovoid; slightly curved cauda; pellicle striated, striations full or dotted; usually one or two large ringor disc-shaped paramylon bodies.

NOTE. It differs from the nominative variety by having half-smaller cell dimensions.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported.

Phacus pleuronectes var. *prunoideus* (Roll) Popova 1955 Figs 4g & 5g

Cells (27.5–)30.0–32.5 μ m long and (18.0–) 20.0–22.5 μ m wide, ovoid, triangular in outline; slightly curved cauda; pellicle striations full or dotted; usually one large or two smaller ring- or disc-shaped paramylon bodies.

NOTE. It differs from the nominative variety by having cells triangular in outline and pellicle striation sometimes built of lines of small papillae.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden pond (AG), fish ponds (UM, MJ1, MJ2); Lampang – fish ponds (KL, TJ); Lamphun – ditch (LL), fish pond (BL), field pond (LP); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden pond (BY1); Phayao – ditch (AS).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Probably common.



Fig. 4. a – *Phacus longicauda* var. *attenuata* (Pochmann) Huber-Pestalozzi, b – *Ph. mangini* var. *inflata* Nygaard, c – *Ph. morii* Skvortzov, d – *Ph. parvulus* Klebs, e – *Ph. platyaulax* Pochmann, f – *Ph. pleuronectes* var. *minuta* Playfair, g – *Ph. pleuronectes* var. *prunoideus* (Roll) Popova, h – *Ph. sesquitortus* Pochmann, i – *Ph. tortuosus* Roll, j – *Ph. trimaginatus* Allorge & Jahn. Scale bars = 10 μ m.

*Phacus sesquitortus Pochmann 1942

Figs 4h & 5h

Cells 75.0–92.5 μ m long and 37.5–42.5 μ m wide, broadly obovoid cell, pear-shaped, twisted 1.5 times; cauda long, straight, sharp; usually a single large (or small) circular plate- or ring-shaped paramylon body.

SITES: Chiang Mai – garden pond (AG); Lampang – fish pond (KL); Chiang Rai – garden pond (BY2). GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Rarely reported, not common.

Phacus tortuosus Roll 1925 Figs 4i & 5i

Cells 32.5 μ m long and 22.5 μ m wide, irregularly round; S-shaped apical groove twisted, reaching to 3/4 of cell length; sharply curved cauda.

SITES: Lampang – fish pond (KL).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common.



Fig. 5. a – *Phacus longicauda* var. *attenuata* (Pochmann) Huber-Pestalozzi, b – *Ph. mangini* var. *inflata* Nygaard, c – *Ph. morii* Skvortzov, d – *Ph. parvulus* Klebs, e – *Ph. platyaulax* Pochmann, f – *Ph. pleuronectes* var. *minuta* Playfair, g – *Ph. pleuronectes* var. *prunoideus* (Roll) Popova, h – *Ph. sesquitortus* Pochmann, i – *Ph. tortuosus* Roll, j – *Ph. trimaginatus* Allorge & Jahn. Scale bars = 10 μ m.

Phacus trimaginatus Allorge & Jahn 1943 Figs 4j & 5j

Cells 40–47 μ m long and 12.0–13.5 μ m wide, longitudinally ovoid, gutter-shaped, folded along a longitudinal axis and slightly twisted spirally with three longitudinal ribs; short curved cauda; three paramylon bodies thick and rod-shaped.

SITES: Chiang Mai – fish pond (UM); Lampang – fish pond (KL) Lamphun – ditch (LL); Chiang Rai – fish pond (CC1), garden pond (BY, BY2).

GENERAL OCCURRENCE. Not common.

CONCLUSIONS

We found 64 taxa of *Phacus* and only two taxa of *Monomorphina* at 31 sites of different water bodies in Thailand (Duangjan *et al.*, unpublished). Twenty five of those *Phacus* taxa and one of the *Monomorphina* taxa are new records for Thailand.

The taxa most frequently occurring at the studied sites included Ph. acuminatus Stokes, Ph. orbicularis Hübner, Ph. longicauda (Ehrenberg) Dujardin, Ph. pleuronectes (O. F. Müller), Ph. salina (Fritsch) Linton & Karnkowska and Ph. triqueter (Ehrenberg) Dujardin. We noted several taxa rarely reported worldwide: Ph. asymmetricus first reported by Sokoloff (1933) from a botanical garden in Mexico; Ph. contortus fo. minor, described by Bourrelly (1961) from the Ivory Coast, Central Africa; Ph. sesquitortus, described from Australia by Pochmann (1942); Ph. trimarginatus, reported by Allegre and Jahn (1943) from Iowa, U.S.A.; Ph. morii, reported by Skvortzov (1928) from Seoul, Korea. All of the above species have been found in tropical regions. Three of them are new for Southeast Asia (Ph. asymmetricus, Ph. contortus fo. minor, Ph. sesquitortus).

We recognized several varieties of *Phacus acuminatus* (var. *indica*, var. *acuticauda* and var. *javana*). We also found *Ph. pleuronectes* var. *minuta* and *prunoideus* and *Ph. contortus* fo. *minor*, which are barely distinguishable from the nominative variety. For now their status as varieties is confirmed only by morphological features. According to Gen-Bank data, most of the reported taxa and varieties have not yet been studied by molecular methods; the only ones checked by molecular studies on various levels are *Monomorphina pseudopyrum*, *Phacus alatus*, *Ph. inflexus Ph. parvulus*, *Ph. platyaulax* and *Ph. trimarginatus* (GenBank, http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank).

According to Starmach (1983), the members of *Phacus* usually occur in swamps, overgrown ponds, rivers and bogs together with other euglenoid genera. He also noted that representatives of *Phacus* avoid heavily polluted waters but our study does not confirm that statement. All the water bodies we studied were strongly polluted by organic matter. High pollution and temperature favored the development of *Phacus*. We also observed rare blooming of *Phacus* taxa such as *Phacus triqueter* (Ehrenberg) Dujardin. We suggest that the high diversity of *Phacus* at the studied sites is most likely due to high temperature and high pollution of the water by organic substances. Most of the taxa reported as new for Thailand are well known in Europe, North America and South America, and regarded as cosmopolitan around the world. We also observed that the morphological characters of the studied taxa are more variable than those from moderate climate (Wołowski 1992, 1998; Wołowski & Hindák 2005). Representatives of this genus are important organisms for use together with other algae as indicators of water pollution in tropical countries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Professor Pertti Eloranta and the anonymous reviewer for helpful remarks and suggestions on the manuscript. This research was supported by grants from the Royal Golden Jubilee PhD Program of Thailand and by the statutory fund of the W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences.

References

- ALLEGRE C. F. & JAHN T. L. 1943. A survey of the genus Phacus Dujardin (Protozon, Euglenoidina). Trans. Amer. Microscop. Soc. 62: 233–244.
- ARIYADEJ C., TANSAKUL R., TANSAKUL P. & ANGSUPANICH S. 2004. Phytoplankton diversity and its relationships to the physico-chemical environment in the Banglang Reservoir, Yala Province. *Songklanakarin Journal of Scicience Technology* 26: 595–607.
- BOURRELLY P. 1961. Quelques algues rares ou nouvelles du Lac de Grand Lieu. *Rev. Algol., n.s.* 6: 57–68.
- CHAIMONGKHON P. & PEERAPORNPISAL Y. 2012. Diversity and SEM study of non-loricated euglenoids in Saraphi District, Chiang Mai, in 2010. *Journal of the Microscopy Society of Thailand* **5**(1–2): 28–32.
- CHARUBHUN B. & CHARUBHUN N. 2000. Biodiversity of freshwater Protozoa in Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal, Natural Science* 34: 486–494.
- CIUGULEA I. & TRIEMER R. E. 2010. A color atlas of photosynthetic euglenoids. Michigan State University Press, Michigan.
- DUANGJAN K., WOŁOWSKI K. & PEERAPORNPISAL Y. 2012. A taxonomic and ultrastructural study of *Trachelomonas* spp. (Euglenophyta) from agricultural area pond, Lamphun Province. *Journal of Microscopy Society of Thailand* 5(1–2): 23–27.
- DUANGJAN K. & WOŁOWSKI K. 2013. New taxa of loricate euglenoids Strombomonas and Trachelomonas from Thailand. Polish Bot. J. 58(1): 337–345

- GREENBERG A. E., CLESCERI L. S. & EATON A. D. 2005. Standard methods for examination of water and waste water. 20th ed. American Public Health Association (APHA), Washington DC.
- HANPONGKITTIKUL A. & WONGRAT L. 2005. Phytoplankton community in the Pasak Jolasid reservoir, Lop Buri Province. Kasetsart University Fisheries Research Bulletin 28: 1–12.
- HIRANO M. 1975. Phytoplankton from Lake Boraphet in the Central Plain of Thailand. *Contr. Biol. Lab. Kyoto Univ.* 24: 187–203.
- HUBER-PESTALOZZI G. 1955. Das Phytoplankton des Süßwassers Systematik und Biologie. E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.
- KIM J. I., SHIN W. & TRIEMER R. E. 2013. Phylogenetic reappraisal of the genus *Monomorphina* (Euglenophyceae) based on molecular and morphological data. *J. Phycol.* 49(1): 82–91.
- LEWMANOMONT K., WONGRAT L. & SUPANWANID C. 1995. Algae in Thailand. Integrated Promotion Technology Co., Ltd., Thailand.
- MARIN B., PALM A., KLINGBERG M. & MELKONIAN M. 2003. Phylogeny and taxonomic revision of plastid-containing euglenophytes base on SSU rDNA sequence comparison and synopomorphic signatures in the SSU rRNA secondary structure. *Protist* **154**(1): 99–145.
- MATCHACHEEP S. & DUMRONGROJWATTANA P. 2004. Freshwater protozoa of Chonburi Province, Eastern Thailand (Protozoa: *Mastigophora, Sarcodina* and *Ciliophora*). Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Chonburi (in Thai with English abstract).
- NGEARNPAT N. 2003. Monitoring of toxic blue green algae, *Microcytis* spp. and water quality in Nong Han Reservoir, Sakon Nakhon Province in the year 2000–2001. M.S. Thesis, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai (in Thai with English abstract).
- PANUVANITCHAKORN N. 2003. Distribution of toxic blue green algae, *Microcystis* spp. and water quality in Lamtakong reservoir, Nakorn Ratchasima Province in the year 2000– 2001. M.S. Thesis, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai.
- PEERAPORNPISAL Y. 2013. Freshwater Algae in Thailand. Ap-

plied Algal Research Laboratory, Microbiology Section, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University. Chotana Print Co., Ltd., Chiang Mai.

- POCHMANN A. 1942. Synopsis der Gattung Phacus. Arch. Protistenk. 95(1) 81–252.
- PONGSWAT S., THAMMATHAWORN S., PEERAPORNPISAL Y., THANEE N. & SOMSIRI C. 2004. Diversity of phytoplankton in the Rama IX Lake, a man-made lake, Pathumthani province, Thailand. *Science Asia* 30: 261–267.
- SKVORTZOV B. W. 1928. Die Euglenaceengattung Phacus Duj., eine systematische Uebersicht. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 46: 105–125.
- SLÁDEČEK V. & SLÁDEČKOVÁ A. 1996. Atlas vodních organismů se zřetelem na vodárenství, povrchové vody a čistírny odpadních vod. 1: Destruenti a producenti. Česká Vědeckotechnická Vodohospodářská Společnost, Praha.
- SOKOLOFF D. 1933. Algunas nuevas formas de flagelados del Valle de México. Anales Inst. Biol. Univ. Nac. Mexico 4: 197–206.
- STARMACH K. 1983. Euglenophyta. Flora Slodkowodna Polski.
 3. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Kraków.
- WOŁOWSKI K. 1992. Occurrence of Euglenophyta in the Třebon Biosphere Reserve (Czechoslovakia). Algol. Stud. 66: 73–98.
- WOŁOWSKI K. 1998. Taxonomic and Environmental study on euglenophytes of the Krakow–Czestochowa upland (southern Poland). W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of science, Krakow.
- WOŁOWSKI K. 2011. Phylum Euglenophyta. In: D. M. JOHN, B. A. WHITTON & A. BROOK (eds), *The freshwater Algal Flora of the British Isles*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- WOŁOWSKI K. & HINDÁK F. 2005. *Atlas of Euglenophytes*. VEDA, Bratislava.
- WOŁOWSKI K., PONIEWOZIK M. & WALNE P. L. 2013. Pigmented euglenophytes of the genera Euglena, Euglenaria, Lepocinclis, Phacus and Monomorphina from the southeastern united states. Polish Bot. J. 58(2): 659–685.
- YAMAGISHI T. 2010. Plankton Algae of Southeast Asia. Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Bishen.

Received 15 October 2014